

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,879	02/05/2004	Shinichi Amemiya	16UL02118	5548
7	12/14/2006		EXAMINER	
Patrick W. Rasche			ROZANSKI, MICHAEL T	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Square		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3768	
St. Louis, MO	03102		DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				·		
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/772,879	AMEMIYA, SHINICHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael Rozanski	3768			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed on the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u>	ebruary 2003.				
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa					
	closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-6}$ is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	la skie u ve av iname aut				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 06 February 2003 is/ar					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		ved in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ea.			
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramos
 Fernandez et al. (US Patent No. 5,592,031). Ramos Fernandez et al. are descriptive of
 a pulse-echo system for medical echography (Col. 1, line 30), such as ultrasound
 imaging. The reference teaches that high voltage analog switches for the transducer
 pulse sources may be configured to operate with bidirectional behavior within multichannel arrays and that the high voltage transmitter power source itself includes high
 voltage analog switches powered by the high voltage (Col. 4, lines 18-43). The
 transmitter power source that controls the analog switch is under low voltage TTL
 external control of the switch CBT (Col. 3, lines 25-34). Therefore, the system
 described by Ramos Fernandez et al. includes a low voltage source controlled by TTL
 logic circuits that powers high voltage pulses that, in turn, power high voltage analog
 switches. The analog switch also acts to directly power the transducer(s) (col. 1, line 51
 col. 2, line 8).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/772,879

Art Unit: 3768

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barnes et al. (US Patent No. 6,795,374). In reference to claim 1, Barnes et al. discloses an ultrasonic diagnostic apparatus (Fig. 1, element 10) for transmitting ultrasonic signals from ultrasonic transducers 68 toward a subject to be examined, and receiving reflected waves of said ultrasonic signals for display, comprising: An analog switch 14 for switching ultrasonic transducers for transmission of said ultrasonic signals and reception of said reflected waves; a transmitter power source 100 for supplying a high voltage to a transmitter circuit for causing said ultrasonic transducers to drive said ultrasonic signals; and a bias power source generating circuit (see Fig. 4) for generating a bias power source for said analog switch 14 from said transmitter power source 100.

In reference to claim 2, Barnes et al. discloses a bias voltage that is "reduced for transmission and then increased for reception" (Col. 8, lines 7-8). It follows in Col. 8, lines 8-25 that the bias voltage source generating circuit (see Fig. 4) is able to generate a voltage value higher than a positive voltage value of the transmitter power source 100 and a voltage lower than a negative voltage value of the transmitter power source 100. Barnes et al. also discloses how the polarity of the bias voltage is reversed between sub-elements 94 and 96 in the micro-mechanical ultrasound element, or MUT 68. There is both a positive node and a negative node of the bias voltage source capable of

Art Unit: 3768

outputting a voltage higher than the positive voltage of transmitter power source and a voltage lower than the negative voltage of the transmitter power source (Col. 11, lines14-19).

In reference to claim 4, Barnes et al. discloses the ultrasonic diagnostic apparatus 10 of claim 1, wherein said apparatus is a transmission voltage control circuit (see Figs. 2 and 4) for variably controlling the voltage value of said transmitter power source 100. Specifically, Barnes describes a transducer in which the DC supply 100, or transmitter power source, is "programmable or at least provides selectable DC voltage levels" (Col. 5, lines 41-45). Therefore, the reference includes a transmission voltage control circuit for adjusting the transmitter power source voltage value.

In reference to claim 6, Barnes et al. discloses the ultrasonic diagnostic apparatus 10 of claim 1, wherein said transmitter power source 100 comprises a stabilizing power source circuit (see Figs. 2 and 4) that is capable of decreasing and stabilizing the positive voltage value supplied to said transmitter circuit, and a stabilizing power source circuit for increasing and stabilizing the negative voltage value supplied to said transmitter circuit. The DC supply 100 includes selectable DC voltage levels, which may be used in stabilizing the voltage value in combination with the change in bias voltage 56.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 5

Art Unit: 3768

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes et al. in view of Sato et al. (US Patent 5,469,484). Barnes et al. teaches all the elements of the current invention, except for a circuit for generating the bias power source from the transmitter power source which is a charge pump. In the same field of endeavor, Sato et al. teaches a driver that includes "a booster circuit for receiving the first and second voltages and for providing a third voltage higher than the second voltage (Col. 2, lines 42-45). Similarly, Sato et al. teaches a "desirable substrate voltage Vsub as a reference voltage Vref, in which the boosted voltage obtained from the booster circuit 16 is used as the operation voltage thereof" (Col. 5, lines 22-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make use of a charge pump, or booster circuit, to provide power supply to the bias power source from the transmitter power source. This would serve to diminish the power loss from the voltage supply.

In reference to claim 5, Barnes et al. do not teach that the charge pump and the transmitter power source share a driving circuit. However, Sato et al. teaches of "a driver means for the solid-state imaging device, including a driving circuit for driving the video signal output means in response to the timing signal" and "a booster circuit for receiving the first and second voltages and for providing a third voltage higher than the second voltage as a third output... wherein the driving circuit, the booster circuit, and the

Art Unit: 3768

voltage setting means are formed on, or in the same semiconductor substrate" (Col. 2, lines 40-49). The said driver includes a driving circuit, which is analogous to a transmitter power source, and a booster circuit. Similarly, Sato et al. teaches of a register drive circuit 9 that includes a booster circuit 16 for boosting the VH voltage and a substrate voltage setting circuit 17 for setting a desirable substrate voltage to be applied to the CCD image sensor 1 (Col. 4, lines 57-61). In this sense, the booster circuit, or charge pump, shares a drive circuit with a substrate voltage setting circuit, analogous to a transmitter power source. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a common driving circuit to both the charge pump and the transmitter power source. This would aid in decreasing the size of the power supply.

With respect to claim 6, Barnes et al. discloses a stabilizing power source circuit (see Figs. 2 and 4). The teachings of Sato et al. serve to show that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a stabilizing power source circuit for decreasing an stabilizing the positive value supplied to said transmitter circuit, and stabilizing power source circuit for increasing and stabilizing the negative value supplied to said transmitter circuit (col. 1, line 61 – col. 2, line 49). The boosted voltage of the booster circuit 16 is used as the power source voltage (see Col. 4, lines 62-63). Pump circuits are, by nature, stabilized power supplies and it would have been obvious to incorporate them to stabilize the voltage value supplied to the transmitter circuit.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The balance of art is cited to show ultrasound systems with bias and/or transmitter power sources and switches.

US Patent No. 6,645,145 to Dreschel et al. discloses an ultrasound system with bias voltage control 12a and micro-mechanical switch 90.

US Patent No. 6,328,697 to Fraser discloses a cMUT ultrasonic transducer with a charge source 30 and bias terminal 24.

US Patent No. 6,572,546 to Bax et al. discloses a high voltage supply 308, a low voltage supply 310, and a switch 302.

US Patent No. 4,563,899 to Nakamura discloses a power source 25, a voltage controller 26, and a pulsar 24.

US Patent No. 6,635,018 to Kawagishi et al. discloses ultrasonic diagnosis apparatus with an ultrasonic probe 12, a pulsar/preamplifier unit, and a transmission control section 22.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Rozanski whose telephone number is 571-272-1648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eleni Mantis-Mercader can be reached on 571-272-4740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MR

ELENI MANTIS MERCADER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Elevillan Ais More